

# ***Town of Frederick Town Board***



Eric Doering, Mayor

Tony Carey, Mayor Pro Tem  
Gerry Pfirsch, Trustee  
Sue Wedel, Trustee

Rafer Burnham, Trustee  
Amy Schiers, Trustee  
Jim Wollack, Trustee

---

**IM 2010- 007**

## **Amendments 60 and 61 and Proposition 101**

---

**Agenda Date:** Town Board Meeting - June 8, 2010

**Attachments:**

- a. CML Information
- b. Resolution Opposing Proposition 101 and Amendments 60 and 61

**Issue/Request:**


At the May 25, 2010 Regular Board Meeting, staffed was asked to provide additional information about the impacts of the citizen initiatives Amendments 60, 61, and Proposition 101 will have on Town and to also provide a resolution for the Board in opposition to them.

---

**Submitted by:**

  
Administrative Services Director

**Approved for Presentation:**

  
Town Administrator

**AV Use Anticipated**

Projector \_\_\_\_\_ Laptop \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Certification of Board Review:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Town Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**Detail of Issue/Request:**

At the May 4, 2010, first quarter budget update meeting, staff provided brief initial information on Amendment 60, Amendment 61, and Proposition 101. These three measures will appear on the November 2, 2010, statewide ballot that could have significant and detrimental impacts on the Town. While the language and effects of the proposals are still ambiguous and subject to interpretation, it is clear; however, that the passage of any one of these measures will have significant impacts on the Town and all levels of government in Colorado. Provided in this IM, attachment a from Colorado Municipal League, provides a summary of Amendment 60, which deals generally with property taxes, Amendment

61, applies to debt for state and local governments, and Proposition 101 that affects vehicle taxes and fees, state income tax, and telecommunication taxes.

**Legal/Political Considerations:**

Currently, there are many unanswered questions (similar to when TABOR was passed) so it will be necessary to continue to refine the estimated impact.

**Alternatives/Options:**

When the 2011 proposed budget is being prepared it will not be known if any of the ballot items will be approved. The immediate financial impacts would come from Proposition 101 and Amendment 60 since they would begin implementation, according to CML, January 1, 2011, with full implementation by 2014.

**Financial Considerations:**

As mentioned previously, the immediate financial implications would come from Proposition 101 as Specific Ownership taxes must decrease to \$2/year for new vehicles and \$1/year for other vehicles. For all practical purposes, according to CML, this revenue source, which was budgeted as a revenue source in 2010 in the Street and Alley Fund as \$101,000 is nearly eliminated. Additionally, in the same fund (Street and Alley Fund) for the 2010 budget, \$343,000 was budgeted as a Highway User Tax Fee Proceeds (HUTF) revenue source. Proposition 101 estimates from CML and CDOT range from this line item reducing, as a direct result of Proposition 101, anywhere from 37% to 84%.

**Staff Recommendation:**

Staff recommends adopting the resolution opposing Proposition 101 and Amendments 60 and 61.